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## Arithmetic functions

Arithmetic functions are complex-valued functions defined on positive integers. For instance, we have the characteristic function of primes:

$$
\chi_{\text {prime }}(n)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathrm{n} \text { is prime } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Its summatory function is the prime counting function that is defined for all positive reals:

$$
\pi(x)=\sum_{n \leq x} \chi_{\text {prime }}(n)=\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \text { prime }}} 1
$$

## Prime number theorem

The asymptotic behaviour of $\pi(x)$ is given by

$$
\pi(x) \sim \operatorname{Li}(x)=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{d t}{\log t}
$$

which is referred to as the prime number theorem (PNT). It was first proven by Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin independently in 1896.

## Error term in PNT

In fact, de la Vallée Poussin also proved the relative error in this estimation, that is,

$$
\left|\frac{\pi(x)-\operatorname{Li}(x)}{\operatorname{Li}(x)}\right|<e^{-c \sqrt{\log x}}
$$

for some positive constant $c$ and for all sufficiently large $x$.

Under the Riemann Hypothesis (RH), it can be shown that

$$
\left|\frac{\pi(x)-\operatorname{Li}(x)}{\operatorname{Li}(x)}\right|<x^{-(1 / 2)+\epsilon}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and for all $\epsilon>0$.

## Sign of $\pi(x)-\operatorname{Li}(x)$

## Possibilities:

- It maintains the same sign for all $x$. As an example, one could look at the other formulation of PNT

$$
\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}
$$

In this case, we know that $\pi(x)-(x / \log x)$ is always positive for $x \geq 2$.

- $\pi(x)$ oscillates about $\mathrm{Li}(x)$ with both signs featuring "approximately equally", or
- It changes sign "quite often" but exhibits a bias towards a certain sign.


## Skewes' number

In this particular case, $\pi(x)-\operatorname{Li}(x)$ changes sign infinitely often [Lit14] but exhibits a very strong bias towards the negative sign.

The density of numbers where $\pi(x)-\mathrm{Li}(x)<0$ is very close to 1 but not exactly 1 ([Win41] and [RS94]). If the bias towards a certain sign is quite strong initially, then it also becomes interesting to ask the question about the first sign change. The value of $x$ where a sign change occurs (or the smallest such number) from negative to positive is called Skewes' number.

While we do not have an explicit value for a Skewes' number, there are upper bounds for the first Skewes' number [STD15]. It is also known that $\pi(x)<\mathrm{Li}(x)$ upto $10^{19}$ [Büt18].

## Möbius function

The Möbius function, $\mu(\cdot)$, is a standard arithmetic function defined in the following manner:

$$
\mu(n)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=1 \\ (-1)^{k} & \text { if } n=p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \cdots p_{k} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k}$ are distinct primes.

The Möbius function is related to the zeta-function by the following property:

$$
\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

for $\Re(s) \geq 1$.

## Mertens' conjecture

As before, we consider the summatory function

$$
M(x):=\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)
$$

The Mertens' conjecture is the claim that

$$
|M(x)|<C \sqrt{x}
$$

for $x>1$ and some $C \geq 1$. In fact, $M(x)=O\left(x^{\theta}\right)$ implies that the Riemann zeta function, $\zeta(s)$, has no zeros in the half-plane $\Re(s)>\theta$.

## Implications

Let a zero on the critical line be $\rho_{\nu}:=\beta_{\nu}+i \gamma_{\nu}$.
Apart from the truth of RH, the Mertens' conjecture also implies

- simplicity of the zeros, and
- $\gamma_{\nu}$ 's linearly dependent [lng42].

Although the numerical evidence supporting the Mertens' conjecture is substantial (there are no counter-examples until $10^{16}$ [Hur18]), the last implication suggests that the conjecture is too good to be true since there is no reason why such a linear dependence should exist.

## $N$-independence

Ingham's method, in general, gives us arbitrarily large oscillations in the value of $M(x) / \sqrt{x}$ if we assume linear independence of $\gamma_{\nu}$ 's, i.e.

$$
\sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} \gamma_{\nu}=0, \quad c_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

does not have any non-trivial solution. Since establishing true linear independence of $\gamma_{\nu}$ 's is not feasible, one could ask if a weaker form of linear independence gives us large but finite oscillations.

We say that the first $m$ zeros (on the critical line) are $N$-independent if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \gamma_{i}=0, \quad c_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

with $\left|c_{i}\right| \leq N$, does not have any non-trivial solution.

## Disproof and counterexamples

Odlyzko and te Riele [OR85] succeeded in proving that $\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) / \sqrt{x}>1.06$ and $\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) / \sqrt{x}<-1.009$, thereby disproving the conjecture (for $C=1$ ).

However, the method of the disproof was ineffective as it did not yield a counterexample or even an $X$ such that

$$
\max _{1 \leq x \leq x} \frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x}}>1
$$

Subsequently, Pintz [Pin87] gave an effective disproof with $X=e^{3.21 \cdot 10^{64}}$. Since then, the upper bound has been improved, more recently, by Saouter and te Riele [SR14] to $e^{1.004 \cdot 10^{33}}$.

## Growth of the Mertens' function

While the exact growth of $M(x)$ is not known, all the conjectures point to a smaller counterexample than the one proved in [SR14]. For instance, Kotnik and te Riele [KR06] conjectured that

$$
\frac{M(x)}{\sqrt{x}}=\Omega_{ \pm}(\sqrt{\log \log \log x})
$$

which suggests that the first counterexample might be at $\simeq e^{5 \cdot 10^{23}}$. Kaczorowski's work [Kac07] suggests that the first counterexample could be at $\simeq 10^{703}$, as noted in [SR14]. Both of these values for $X$ are well below what has been proven so far $\left(e^{1.004 \cdot 10^{33}}\right)$.

## Future work

- Improve upon Saouter and te Riele's bound using more recent results about the modulus and the zeros of the zeta-function.
- Refine aspects of Ingham's method with respect to $N$-independence.
- Analyse biases, oscillations and sign changes in other settings, for instance, prime number races. Consider arithmetic functions $f_{a}(\cdot)$ and $f_{b}(\cdot)$ that take non-zero values when $p \equiv a \bmod q$ and $p \equiv b \bmod q$ respectively, where $p$ is a prime. We can ask similar questions (as in the case of $\pi(x)-\operatorname{Li}(x))$ about $\sum_{n \leq x}\left(f_{a}(x)-f_{b}(x)\right)$.
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