Biases, oscillations, and first sign changes

Shehzad Hathi

UNSW Canberra at ADFA

December 4, 2019

Contents

Introduction

- Arithmetic functions
- Error terms

2 Mertens' conjecture

3 Future work



Arithmetic functions are complex-valued functions defined on positive integers. For instance, we have the characteristic function of primes:

$$\chi_{\mathsf{prime}}(\textit{n}) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} 1 & ext{if n is prime} \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

Its summatory function is the prime counting function that is defined for all positive reals:

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \chi_{\mathsf{prime}}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ p \text{ prime}}} 1.$$

The asymptotic behaviour of $\pi(x)$ is given by

$$\pi(x) \sim \operatorname{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t},$$

which is referred to as the prime number theorem (PNT). It was first proven by Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin independently in 1896.

In fact, de la Vallée Poussin also proved the relative error in this estimation, that is,

$$\left|\frac{\pi(x) - \mathsf{Li}(x)}{\mathsf{Li}(x)}\right| < e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}$$

for some positive constant c and for all sufficiently large x.

Under the Riemann Hypothesis (RH), it can be shown that

$$\left|\frac{\pi(x) - \mathsf{Li}(x)}{\mathsf{Li}(x)}\right| < x^{-(1/2) + \epsilon}$$

as $x \to \infty$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Possibilities:

• It **maintains the same sign** for all *x*. As an example, one could look at the other formulation of PNT

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

In this case, we know that $\pi(x) - (x/\log x)$ is always positive for $x \ge 2$.

- $\pi(x)$ oscillates about Li(x) with both signs featuring "approximately equally", or
- It changes sign "quite often" but exhibits a **bias** towards a certain sign.

In this particular case, $\pi(x) - Li(x)$ changes sign infinitely often [Lit14] but exhibits a very strong bias towards the negative sign.

The density of numbers where $\pi(x) - \text{Li}(x) < 0$ is very close to 1 but not exactly 1 ([Win41] and [RS94]). If the bias towards a certain sign is quite strong initially, then it also becomes interesting to ask the question about the **first sign change**. The value of x where a sign change occurs (or the smallest such number) from negative to positive is called *Skewes' number*.

While we do not have an explicit value for a Skewes' number, there are upper bounds for the first Skewes' number [STD15]. It is also known that $\pi(x) < \text{Li}(x)$ upto 10^{19} [Büt18].

The Möbius function, $\mu(\cdot)$, is a standard arithmetic function defined in the following manner:

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where *n* is a positive integer and p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are distinct primes.

The Möbius function is related to the zeta-function by the following property:

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$$

for $\Re(s) \geq 1$.

As before, we consider the summatory function

$$M(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n).$$

The Mertens' conjecture is the claim that

$$|M(x)| < C\sqrt{x}$$

for x > 1 and some $C \ge 1$. In fact, $M(x) = O(x^{\theta})$ implies that the Riemann zeta function, $\zeta(s)$, has no zeros in the half-plane $\Re(s) > \theta$.

Let a zero on the critical line be $\rho_{\nu} := \beta_{\nu} + i \gamma_{\nu}$.

Apart from the truth of RH, the Mertens' conjecture also implies

- simplicity of the zeros, and
- γ_{ν} 's linearly dependent [Ing42].

Although the numerical evidence supporting the Mertens' conjecture is substantial (there are no counter-examples until 10¹⁶ [Hur18]), the last implication suggests that the conjecture is too good to be true since there is no reason why such a linear dependence should exist.

Ingham's method, in general, gives us arbitrarily large oscillations in the value of $M(x)/\sqrt{x}$ if we assume linear independence of γ_{ν} 's, i.e.

$$\sum_{
u} oldsymbol{c}_
u \gamma_
u = oldsymbol{0}, \ oldsymbol{c}_
u \in \mathbb{Z}$$

does not have any non-trivial solution. Since establishing true linear independence of γ_{ν} 's is not feasible, one could ask if a weaker form of linear independence gives us large but finite oscillations.

We say that the first m zeros (on the critical line) are N-independent if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \gamma_i = 0, \ c_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with $|c_i| \leq N$, does not have any non-trivial solution.

Odlyzko and te Riele [OR85] succeeded in proving that $\limsup_{x\to\infty} M(x)/\sqrt{x} > 1.06$ and $\liminf_{x\to\infty} M(x)/\sqrt{x} < -1.009$, thereby disproving the conjecture (for C = 1).

However, the method of the disproof was ineffective as it did not yield a counterexample or even an X such that

$$\max_{1 \le x \le X} \frac{|M(x)|}{\sqrt{x}} > 1.$$

Subsequently, Pintz [Pin87] gave an effective disproof with $X = e^{3.21 \cdot 10^{64}}$. Since then, the upper bound has been improved, more recently, by Saouter and te Riele [SR14] to $e^{1.004 \cdot 10^{33}}$. While the exact growth of M(x) is not known, all the conjectures point to a smaller counterexample than the one proved in [SR14]. For instance, Kotnik and te Riele [KR06] conjectured that

$$rac{M(x)}{\sqrt{x}} = \Omega_{\pm}(\sqrt{\log\log\log x}),$$

which suggests that the first counterexample might be at $\simeq e^{5 \cdot 10^{23}}$. Kaczorowski's work [Kac07] suggests that the first counterexample could be at $\simeq 10^{703}$, as noted in [SR14]. Both of these values for X are well below what has been proven so far $(e^{1.004 \cdot 10^{33}})$.

- Improve upon Saouter and te Riele's bound using more recent results about the modulus and the zeros of the zeta-function.
- Refine aspects of Ingham's method with respect to *N*-independence.
- Analyse biases, oscillations and sign changes in other settings, for instance, prime number races. Consider arithmetic functions f_a(·) and f_b(·) that take non-zero values when p ≡ a mod q and p ≡ b mod q respectively, where p is a prime. We can ask similar questions (as in the case of π(x) Li(x)) about ∑_{n≤x}(f_a(x) f_b(x)).

References I

- J. Büthe. 'An analytic method for bounding $\psi(x)$ '. In: Mathematics of Computation 87.312 (2018), pp. 1991–2009.
- G. Hurst. 'Computations of the Mertens function and improved bounds on the Mertens conjecture'. In: *Mathematics of Computation* 87.310 (2018), pp. 1013–1028.
- A. E. Ingham. 'On two conjectures in the theory of numbers'. In: *Amer. J. Math* 64.1 (1942), pp. 313–319.
- J. Kaczorowski. 'Results on the Möbius function'. In: *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 75.2 (2007), pp. 509–521.
- T. Kotnik and H. te Riele. 'The Mertens conjecture revisited'. In: International Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium. Springer. 2006, pp. 156–167.
- J. E. Littlewood. 'Sur la distribution des nombres premiers'. In: *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* 158.1914 (1914), pp. 1869–1872.

References II

A. M. Odlyzko and H. te Riele. 'Disproof of the Mertens conjecture'. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math* 357 (1985), p. 357.

J. Pintz. 'An effective disproof of the Mertens conjecture'. In: *Astérisque* 147.148 (1987), pp. 325–333.

M. Rubinstein and P. Sarnak. 'Chebyshev's bias'. In: *Experimental Mathematics* 3.3 (1994), pp. 173–197.

Y. Saouter and H. te Riele. 'Improved results on the Mertens conjecture'. In: *Mathematics of Computation* 83.285 (2014), pp. 421–433.

Y. Saouter, T. Trudgian and P. Demichel. 'A still sharper region where $\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)$ is positive'. In: *Mathematics of Computation* 84.295 (2015), pp. 2433–2446.



A. Wintner. 'On the distribution function of the remainder term of the prime number theorem'. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 63.2 (1941), pp. 233–248.